UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

IN RE: TERMINATION
OF ANTITRUST JUDGMENTS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,

v.

DELAWARE, LACKAWANNA & WESTERN RAILROAD CO., et al, Defendants;

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff.

v.

KLAXON CO., Defendant;

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,


v.

SCHERING CORP., et al., Defendants;

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,

v.

SWISS BANK CORP., Defendant;



Civil Action No. 2005 Date entered: 12/3/1918

Civil Action No. 1919 Date entered: 12/17/1941

Civil Action No. 1920 Date entered: 12/17/1941

v.

BENDIX AVIATION CORP., Defendant;

Civil Action No. 2531 Date entered: 2/13/1946

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,

v.

U.S. PIPE & FOUNDRY CO., et al., Defendants;

Civil Action No. 10772 Date entered: 7/21/1948

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,

v.

ALLEGHENY LUDLUM STEEL CORP., et al.,

Defendants;

Civil Action No. 4583 Date entered: 10/25/1948

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,

v.

SAND SPUN PATENTS CORP., et al., Defendants;

Civil Action No. 125-49 Date entered: 7/22/1949

v.

WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC AND MANUFACTURING CO., et al., Defendants;

Civil Action No. 5152 Date entered: 6/1/1953

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,

v.

GENERAL INSTRUMENT CORP., et al., Defendants;

Civil Action No. 8586 Date entered: 9/30/1953

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,

v.

GENERAL ELECTRIC CO., et al., Defendants;

Civil Action No. 4575 Date entered: 10/6/1953

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,

v.

AMERICAN LEAD PENCIL CO., et al., Defendants;

Civil Action No. 73-54 Date entered: 2/5/1954

v.

THE EMBROIDERY CUTTERS ASS'N, et al..

Defendants;

Civil Action No. 889-54 Date entered: 11/12/1954

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,

v.

NATIONAL ELECTRICAL CONTRACTORS ASS'N, N.J. CHAPTER, INC., et al.,

Defendants;

Civil Action No. 575-56 Date entered: 7/13/1956

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,

v.

GARDEN STATE RETAIL GASOLINE DEALERS ASS'N, INC., et al., Defendants; Civil Action No. 482-55 Date entered: 9/19/1956

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,

v.

AMERICAN TYPE FOUNDERS CO., INC., Defendant;

Civil Action No. 698-58 Date entered: 6/20/1958

v.

THE GEMEX CORP., Defendant:

Civil Action No. 1350-58 Date entered: 7/31/1959

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,

v.

NEW JERSEY AUTO GLASS DEALER ASS'N,

Defendant;

Civil Action No. 575-60 Date entered: 6/29/1960

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,

v.

DRIVER-HARRIS CO., et al., Defendants; Civil Action No. 942-56 Date entered: 5/25/1961

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,

ν.

HUNTERDON COUNTY TRUST CO., *et al.*,

Defendants;

Civil Action No. 1100-61 Date entered: 4/16/1962

v.

BECTON, DICKINSON AND CO., Defendant;

Civil Action No. 567-60 Date entered: 7/20/1964

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,

v.

DRIVER-HARRIS CO., et al., Defendants; Civil Action No. 942-56 Date entered: 8/13/1964

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,

v.

DRIVER-HARRIS CO., et al., Defendants; Civil Action No. 942-56 Date entered: 12/2/1964

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,

v.

JOHNSON & JOHNSON, Defendant; Civil Action No. 840-64 Date entered: 4/18/1966

ν.

ALUMINIUM LIMITED., et al., Defendants;

Civil Action No. 1174-64 Date entered: 11/4/1966

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,

٧.

R.J. REYNOLDS TOBACCO CO., Defendants;

Civil Action No. 345-65 Date entered: 9/22/1969

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,

 $\mathbf{v}.$

THE AMERICAN OIL CO., et al., Defendants;

Civil Action No. 370-65 Date entered: 8/12/1971

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,

v.

E.I. DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND CO., *et al.*,

Defendants;

Civil Action No. 74-1086 Date entered: 4/18/1977

v.

AMERICAN BUILDING MAINTENANCE CORP., et al.,
Defendants;

Civil Action No. 74-719 Date entered: 10/25/1977

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,

v.

CURTIS CIRCULATION CO., INC., et al., Defendants.

Civil Action No. 611-65 Date entered: 12/15/1977

MOTION OF THE UNITED STATES TO TERMINATE LEGACY ANTITRUST JUDGMENTS

The United States moves to terminate the judgments in each of the above-captioned antitrust cases pursuant to Rule 60(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. As explained in the accompanying Memorandum in Support of the Motion of the United States to Terminate Legacy Antitrust Judgments, the United States has concluded that because of their age and changed circumstances since their entry, these decades-old judgments no longer serve to protect competition.

The United States gave the public notice and the opportunity to comment on its intent to seek termination of the judgments in the above-captioned cases; it received no comments opposing termination. For these and other reasons explained in the accompanying memorandum, the United States requests that these judgments be terminated.

DATE: May 30, 2019 Respectfully submitted,

CRAIG CARPENITO United States Attorney

By: <u>/s/ J. Andrew Ruymann</u>
J. Andrew Ruymann

Assistant United States Attorney

By: /s/Bryan Serino

Bryan Serino Mary Anne Carnival Steven Tugander

Trial Attorneys

United States Department of Justice

Antitrust Division

26 Federal Plaza, Room 3630

Tel: (212) 335-8000 Fax: (212) 335-8021 bryan.serino@usdoj.gov